THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11626 OF 2006

13.06.2006

Between:

B.Subrahmanyam Naidu, S/o.Rangappa Naidu

… Petitioner

AND

The District Collector, Chittoor

And another

… Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11626 OF 2006

ORDER:

The petitioner allegedly purchased an extent of Acs.0.83 in survey No.357/6 of Gurukulapalem Village of Thottambedu Mandal in Chittoor District from Sri Kotapati Nagaraja Naidu under registered sale deed dated 16.6.1999. After such purchase, the petitioner made an application to the second respondent in Form VI-A under Rule 18 of A.P. Rights in Land & Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989 (the Rules, for brevity) for issue of Pattadar Pass Book (PPB) and title deeds. He alleges that his application was not considered, but the PPB was issued in favour of one Smt.Soubhagyamma ignoring the claim of the petitioner. Therefore, he made an application to the Mandal Revenue Officer, Thottambedu (MRO) again. An enquiry was conducted into the same and a report was submitted to the Revenue Divisional Officer, Tirupathi (RDO) for cancellation of PPB issued earlier in favour of Smt.Soubhagyamma. When there was no immediate response, the petitioner appears to have approached the Joint Collector, Chittoor for redressal. Thereafter, the RDO, the second respondent herein, cancelled PPB issued to Smt.Soubhagyamma and directed the third respondent to take *de novo* enquiry. It is alleged that in spite of such direction, enquiry was not conducted and PPB was not

issued in favour of the petitioner. Therefore, the present writ petition is filed.

At the time of preliminary hearing itself, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General), after obtaining instructions from his clients, submits that the application of the petitioner for change of mutation was duly considered as per the proceedings of the RDO dated 24.10.2005 and by proceedings dated 17.5.2006, the MRO granted PPB to the petitioner in respect of the land in survey No.357/6 admeasuring Acs.0.83. A copy of the proceedings is also placed before this Court. The learned Counsel for the petitioner is permitted to peruse the same.

In view of the same, the petitioner's grievance has been redressed and nothing survives in this writ petition.

The writ petition is therefore dismissed, giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the third respondent for obtaining PPB. No costs.

_____

(**V.V.S.RAO, J**)

June 13, 2006

YS